

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,561	01/29/2004	Asako Koike	ASAM.0101	4049
7550 06/02/2008 REED SMITH LLP 3110 Fairview Park Drive, Suite 1400			EXAMINER	
			PADMANABHAN, KAVITA	
Falls Church, VA 22042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/766.561 KOIKE ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Kavita Padmanahhan 2161 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Kavita Padmanabhan. (3)\_\_\_\_. (2) Juan Carlos Marquez. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 27 May 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Miller. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and the applicant's representative discussed claim 1 with respect to the Miller reference. The examiner suggested that the applicant clarify the limitations to more clearly claim the applicant's invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080527